



Flood Progression Modelling and Impact Analysis

Mioc, Darka; Anton, François; Nickerson, B.; Santos, M.; Adda, P.; Tienaah, T.; Ahmad, A.; Mezouagh, M.; MacGillivray, E.; Morton, A.

Total number of authors:
11

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Mioc, D., Anton, F., Nickerson, B., Santos, M., Adda, P., Tienaah, T., Ahmad, A., Mezouagh, M., MacGillivray, E., Morton, A., & Tang, P. (2011). *Flood Progression Modelling and Impact Analysis*. Paper presented at Gi4DM (Geoinformation for Disaster Management) Conference, Antalya, Turkey.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

FLOOD PROGRESSION MODELLING AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

D. Mioc^{f*}, F. Anton^c, B. Nickerson^b, M. Santos^a, P. Adda^a, T. Tienaah^a,
A. Ahmad^a, M. Mezouagh^a, E. MacGillivray^d, A. Morton^d and P. Tang^e

^a Department of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Canada

^b Faculty of Computer Science, University of New Brunswick, Canada

^c IMM, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

^d EMO, Victoria Health Centre, 65 Brunswick Street, Fredericton, NB, Canada

^e New Brunswick Department of Environment, Marysville Place, Fredericton, NB, Canada

^f National Space Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark,

mioc@space.dtu.dk

KEY WORDS: Flood modelling, Flood impact analysis, Decision making, LiDAR data processing.

ABSTRACT: People living in the lower valley of the St. John River, New Brunswick, Canada, frequently experience flooding when the river overflows its banks during spring ice melt and rain.

To better prepare the population of New Brunswick for extreme flooding, we developed a new flood prediction model that computes floodplain polygons before the flood occurs. This allows emergency managers to access the impact of the flood before it occurs and make the early decisions for evacuation of the population and flood rescue.

This research shows that the use of GIS and LiDAR technologies combined with hydrological modelling can significantly improve the decision making and visualization of flood impact needed for emergency planning and flood rescue. Furthermore, the 3D GIS application we developed for modelling flooded buildings and infrastructure provides a better platform for modelling and visualizing flood situations than previously done in 2D maps. All parts of a building could be studied in detail in the event of flooding. This provides a better tool for analyzing and preparing for emergency measures. It also presents a photo-realistic situation that can easily be understood. Public administrators who may not be familiar with GIS analytical tools like Query Languages, can still understand technical discussions on flood analysis through the use of 3D models, which are close to reality.

1. INTRODUCTION

People living in the lower valley of the St. John River, New Brunswick, Canada, frequently experience flooding when the river overflows its banks during spring ice melt and rain. Media reports reveal devastating effects of the latest floods that hit New Brunswick, Canada during the summer of 2008 (CTV, 2008). The rising water levels forced the closure of the New Brunswick Legislature, and also resulted in the temporary closures of the international bridge that links the Province to the United States of America. Fredericton experienced its worst flood in 1973, when the St John River reached the 8.6 m mark (ENB-MAL, 1979).

The 2008 flood was recorded as one of the major floods experienced in Fredericton after the 1973 flood. On April 24th 2008, due to rapid melting of snow set by an unusually severe winter, combined with intense rainfall, the water level of St. John River reached 7.2 m (TC, 2008). The water levels in Fredericton raised by a meter overnight to 8.33 m on May 1st (see Figures 1 and 2). Raising St. John River levels peaked at 8.36 m on May 2nd, almost reaching the previous record of 8.61 m set in 1973 (CIWD, 1974).




Figure 1. Flood monitoring using satellite imagery.

* Corresponding author.

The closure of roads and government buildings followed by the evacuation of people and their possessions during floods is necessary to avoid the loss of life and property.




Figure 2. Evacuation of dairy cows during the flood in 2008.

Raising river water levels could affect electrical, water and telecommunication facilities. It could also affect the sewage system. In such a situation, the public buildings washrooms cannot be used. The question that the government officials are facing is: “When can an office be declared risky to occupy?”

City Managers will require reliable support for the decision to close down government infrastructure. To facilitate this process, we propose the use of 3D flood modelling, embedded on 3D terrain together with infrastructure (electrical power, water, telecommunications) and buildings to make correct decisions on when buildings and infrastructure are declared unsafe to use.

2. BACKGROUND

Since ancient times, humans have developed means to monitor flood levels and to some extent, predict the rate of flood rise. People in medieval times marked animals and push them down the river to see how deep the river was. According to the director of EMO, Ernest McGillivray, his grandfather had a self-calibrated stick that he used to insert in the river to compare and forecast river flood levels.

Today, more innovative technologies have been developed to study floodings (Jones, 2004; Marks & Bates 2000). These include satellite remote sensing, aerial photogrammetry and LiDAR. Such technologies are combined with computer terrain modelling tools to create scenarios for analysis, as in the case of Geographic Information Systems (GIS).

Previously, the New Brunswick Emergency Measures Organization (EMO) in collaboration with the University of New Brunswick, Canada developed a flood model (Mioc et al., 2008; Mioc et al., 2010), (available from <http://www.gnb.ca/public/Riverwatch/index-e.asp>) for the area of lower St. John River, New Brunswick, Canada (EMO, 2008).

However, the accuracy of existing elevation data was a major problem in this project. The maps we were able to produce were not accurate enough to effectively warn the population and to plan the evacuation. Another problem we faced in the 2008 flood was that even though the buildings were not affected by

the flood the electrical and water facilities were not functioning, so the buildings and houses were inhabitable. The elevated groundwater levels would affect the power cables causing power outages and the old plumbing installations would cause sewer back-propagation due to the pressure of the rising water from St. John River.

Current visualization methods cannot adequately represent the different perspectives of the affected infrastructure. It can be seen that the building models are represented by polygons only and the detailed 3D views of the buildings and terrain affected by the flooding do not exist. As a result, the public may not have adequate technical or analytical know-how to analyze the polygons. Therefore, they may not find the River watch website very useful. To overcome these problems we had to develop a new digital terrain model and new techniques for flood modelling (Moore et al., 2005).

3. DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

In our research efforts to improve the accuracy of the elevation data, we used new Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data acquisition and LiDAR data processing. Furthermore, the hydrological modelling was integrated with processed LiDAR data within a 3D GIS application.




Figure 3. LiDAR data processing

3.1 Available Data

In this research, the newly acquired LiDAR data (see Figure 3 and 4) and Tidal gauge readings from May 1st to May 4th, 2008 were used to delineate the extent of flood during the 2008 flood in Fredericton. Coordinates of river gauges and recorded river levels for four cross-sections over a period of four days (May 1st to May 4th, 2008) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Tidal Gauge Readings

POINT_X	POINT_Y	NAME	1-May	2-May	3-May	4-May
-66.6533	46.02761	13	8.33	8.36	7.78	7.14
-66.6505	45.95549	13	8.33	8.36	7.78	7.14
-66.6322	46.00999	14	8.27	8.3	7.75	7.12
-66.6487	45.95604	14	8.27	8.3	7.75	7.12
-66.6476	45.95384	15	8.12	8.18	7.66	7.07
-66.5804	45.99036	15	8.12	8.18	7.66	7.07
-66.5681	45.95439	16	7.96	8.04	7.57	7.02
-66.6465	45.94944	16	7.96	8.04	7.57	7.02




Figure 4. LiDAR data; First Return, part of Fredericton, NB.

Tidal gauge readings were extended to a profile across the river in order to facilitate spatial analysis.

3.2 Data processing

For this project, accurate planimetric coordinates and orthometric heights were obtained for the 3D flood modelling. In order to process LiDAR data (Elaksher & Bethel, 2002a, 2002b), we applied the following workflow of tasks:

1. Find LiDAR strip for the project area and extract returns of LiDAR beams.
2. Extract ground surface, i.e. ground DTM of the area of interest.
3. Extract building footprints from the returns of the LiDAR data.
4. Edit footprints by comparing them with cadastral data. The cadastral map does not contain height values and is not recent. However, based on different predefined parameters, the algorithm used to extract the building footprints produce varying results (Alharty & Bethel, 2002). The results were compared to the digital cadastral map of the study area. Further editing was necessary to correct the planimetric errors from the building footprints obtained from extraction process. LiDAR data is usually collected with reference to ground control points using GPS methods. Subsequently, the output coordinates are ellipsoidal. To obtain accurate flood modelling together with Digital Terrain Model, the data originally available in the geographic coordinates using the ellipsoid defined by the WGS84 geodetic datum are finally transformed to UTM and the CGVD28 vertical datum. The transformed coordinates are used as the input to GIS system in order to model a Digital Terrain Model (DTM). Layers containing flood profiles and polygons are created for each day of the extreme flood (1st to 4th of May) in 2008. In addition the extreme flood from 1973 was modelled as well and used for comparison. Existing utilities and buildings in downtown Fredericton we modelled in 3D and geo-referenced to the WGS 84 geodetic datum and the CGVD28 vertical datum.

5. Proceed with other modelling tasks (shown in Figure 5) and adding attributes and other data necessary for analysis. The buildings and utilities, including electrical power, water and telecommunications are all modelled in 3D. Their attributes are also included in the modelling.

For the efficient decision support system, different flood scenarios were modelled for different flood levels (Sanders et al., 2005; Dal Cin et al., 2009). The technologies for 3D modelling are available in commercial and public domain software. One such tool that was used in this project was Google SketchUp (Chopra, 2007).

Although limited in its interaction with other spatial features, Google SketchUp provided the tools needed to model the main features of the buildings (buildings geometry and the texture for the façade and the roof) and surrounding utilities for the test area.

4. FLOOD MODELLING AND FORECASTS

The advanced modelling method we used in this research is based on 3D modelling of flooding, buildings and government infrastructure. The 3D visualizations of the floodplain and its effect on utilities can be discussed in more comprehensive terms, among engineers, government executives and the public. To showcase such a possibility, buildings of downtown Fredericton were extruded in 3D and modelled in detail.




Figure 5: The workflow of detailed methods and techniques applied for modelling of flooded buildings and infrastructure.

Figure 5 shows the processing steps in obtaining at the 3D models. Embedding the 3D models with the utilities and DTM, through the process of modeling different water rise situations, gives different scenarios of flooding, which can be used for further analysis.

4.1 Floodplain computations

To accurately compute the floodplain it is important to obtain topography of floodplain areas; the bathymetry of rivers; snow information; storm surges (rainfall forecast); and temperature information to create hydraulic models for effective prediction of inundation areas and risk probabilities. However, water levels obtained by hydraulic modelling do not tell much about the severity and extent of a flood. This motivates the modelling and a visualization of predicted flood areas using GIS. The spatial delineation of flood zones using GIS has become a new research area following the advancement of technologies for data collection (Noman et al, 2001, 2003).

This research uses different spatial analysis tools to create floodplains from LiDAR data in Fredericton area and water gauges for Saint John River. For hydrological modelling we used DWOPER (Fread, 1992) (as described in Mioc et al., 2010). The results of hydrological modelling were then used within a GIS for 3-dimensional modelling of flood extents (Mioc et al., 2010).




Figure 6. Flood progression modelling.

The flood progression from May 1st to May 4th, 2008 is analyzed (see Figure 6). Using the results of spatial analysis, a flood prediction model was developed for emergency planning during future floods. In this phase of our research, we were able to obtain the delineation of flood zones using LiDAR and Tidal height information (available from water gauges). In addition, we were able to integrate a number of processes that make flood forecast possible: the acquisition and processing of the elevation data; the use of hydrological software to simulate models of flow across floodplains; the use of spatial analysis software (GIS) that turns the modelling results into maps and overlays them on other layers (thematic maps or aerial photographs); and software that makes these models and predictions available on the Internet in a flexible and user-friendly way.

From the computed floodplain, displayed as superimposed polygon layers, we visualize that the major flood extent occurred from May 1st to May 2nd, with the peak for flood on May 2nd. Furthermore, the flood subsided from May 3rd to May 4th. The system we developed allows the computation of floodplain for predicted flood peak (shown in red on Figure 6) that is critical for emergency managers. Furthermore, the flood

modelling results are used to develop a three dimensional model of flooded buildings combined with some city infrastructure, roads, water and electrical utilities (see Figure 7).

4.2 3D modelling of flooded buildings and infrastructure

The method of simulating and predicting floods and its effects on buildings and utilities provides powerful visual representation for decision making on when the buildings in the flood zone may be safe for people to occupy. Traditional paper maps and digital maps may not give us the possibility to do a 3D visualization in order to study the detailed effect of a flood situation on utilities.




Figure 7. The 3D model of buildings overlaid over the water and electrical utilities that will be affected by the flood.

In this research, we used LiDAR data and the application of 3D modelling in order to provide an analysis of the risk of floods on government buildings and utilities. LiDAR data provides a cheaper, faster and denser 3D coverage of features for 3D mapping. LiDAR data was acquired for the city of Fredericton after the flood in 2008 and processed to generate 3D animated views. To further enhance visual perception, 3D buildings, infrastructure and utilities were integrated within a 3D GIS application. The resulting 3D view does not only display a clear-to-nature scenario, but provides a more realistic outlook of the buildings and infrastructure during floods. Finally, a flood scene was produced for each of the forecasted flood levels for visualization via Web interface.

Using different extrusion heights to represent different flood scenes, it is possible to simulate different flood progression events. The pictorial scene, representation of the building, floods and its effects can be clearly visualized and analyzed. Figure 7 shows a 3D view of the flooding in May 2008. The 3D buildings and utilities can be seen consecutively as a result of applying transparency to the thematic layers. In Figure 6, the utility lines are embedded in the 3D models. Figure 8 and 9 present a 3D visual model of the submerged newly built Public Washroom at the Military Guard Square, in Fredericton, Canada in an extreme flood scenario. At this level of water rise, the electrical boxes would be flooded. It is visible from the model that during flooding, surrounding areas including the Public Library, the Armory and the Military depots will be out of use and certainly inaccessible.




Figure 8. 3D Washroom, with power box, in the extreme100 year simulated flood.

When ground water rises up to certain level, waste matter from the sewage system will flow upward, under pressure from rising water from the river. The washroom facility may not be used under these circumstances. Computing the floodplain for the 20 year statistical flood showed that many parts of Fredericton may have been build on a floodplain. The new analysis of DTMs combined with the groundwater levels shows that, if ground water levels rise across the city, many homes and governmental buildings will be flooded.

The electrical utilities and sewage system that are laid in the underground will be affected as well resulting with the sewer back-propagation and the electric power outages. The situation is worse in the downtown area, which has the lowest heights. Priority emergency decisions can be made out of this situation to close the downtown offices and infrastructure first, at the start of rising water levels. Based on the results of the overlaying the floodplains with existing utilities and infrastructure, it can be decided when it is risky to occupy or use the buildings or the infrastructure. Daily automatic generation of flood polygons from the data provided by the existing online River Watch application:

<http://www.gnb.ca/public/Riverwatch/index-e.asp>.

We can further produce an animated 3D video, which can be put on the website to provide updated 3D models to residents. It can be seen clearly from the comparison of the model and the picture (shown in the Figure 10) captured during the 2008 flood, that the levels of flooding are the same. The water just touches the back gates of the Fredericton Public library on both of these. The accuracy of the flood model is dependent on the vertical accuracy of the LiDAR datasets and the accuracy of the hydrological modelling.

The 3D GIS application provides a better platform for visualizing flood situations than previously done in 2D maps. All exterior parts of a building could be visualized in detail during flood event. This provides a better tool for analyzing and preparing for emergency measures. It also presents a near to reality situation that can easily be understood. Provincial Ministers and decision makers who may not be familiar with GIS analytical tools and Query Languages can now understand technical discussions on flood analysis through the use of 3D flood models, which are close to reality.

Flood scene animations can be published on the website for public access. It is also possible to simulate floodplain polygons for different river water levels in order to produce different flood scenarios. Simulation can also be used to trace and

analyze underground utilities by making thematic layers transparent.




Figure 9. 3D model of a washroom, with electrical power box, in 1973 simulated flood.




Figure 10. 3D Model compared with Photograph taken during the flood in 2008.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The new flood prediction model that computes accurately floodplain polygons directly from the results of hydrological modelling allows emergency managers to access the impact of the flood before it occurs and better prepare for evacuation of the population and flood rescue. The method of simulating and predicting floods and its effects on utilities provides powerful visual representation for decision making on when buildings in the flood zone may be safe for people to occupy.

This research explores the application of 3D modelling using LiDAR data to provide an analysis of the risk of floods on government buildings and utilities. LiDAR data provides a cheaper, faster and denser coverage of features for 3D mapping. LiDAR data was processed to generate 3D maps. By employing accurate coordinate conversion and transformations with respect to the geoid, a Digital Terrain Model (DTM) was created. Floodplain delineation was computed by intersecting Digital Terrain Model with the simulated water levels. Furthermore, to enhance visual perception of the upcoming flood, 3D buildings, infrastructure and utilities were modelled for the city downtown area. The DTM and the 3D models of the government buildings, infrastructure and utilities were overlaid and presented as a 3D animation. The resulting 3D view does not only register a clear-

to-nature scenario, but also provides a more discerning outlook of the buildings and infrastructure during floods. Finally, in this research we have clearly shown that GIS and LiDAR technologies combined with hydrological modelling can significantly improve the decision making and visualization of flood impact needed for early emergency planning and flood rescue.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the generous contribution of time, materials and resources to this project by the New Brunswick Department of Transportation.

This project was financially supported in part by the N.B. Emergency Measures Organization and the Canadian Department of Natural Resources Geoconnections program as well as the University of New Brunswick and the New Brunswick Innovation Foundation (NBIF). The authors would also like to acknowledge the financial contribution of EMO to the acquisition of new LiDAR datasets (available for this project) after the flood in 2008.

7. REFERENCES

- Alharthy, A. & Bethel, J., 2002. Heuristic filtering and 3D feature extraction from LiDAR data. *International Archives Of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, Vol. 34, No.3/A, pp. 29-34.
- Canada Inland Waters Directorate, Atlantic Region, (CIWD), 1974, New Brunswick Flood, April-May, 1973, Ottawa: Inland Waters Directorate, Atlantic Region, 114 pages.
- Chopra, A., 2007. *Google SketchUp for Dummies*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Hoboken, US, 398 pages.
- Canadian Television, CTV, (CTV), 2008. News Headlines, Accessed on May 1, 2008., from <http://www.ctv.ca>.
- Dal Cin, C., Moens, L., Dierickx, PH., Bastin, G., and Zech, Y., 2005. "An Integrated Approach for Realtime Floodmap Forecasting on the Belgian Meuse River". *Natural Hazards* 36 (1-2), 237-256, Springer Netherlands, URL: <http://springerlink.com/content/tq643818443361k8/fulltext.pdf>
- Elaksher, A. F. & Bethel J. S., 2002a. Building extraction using LiDAR data. *Proceedings of ASPRS-ACSM annual conference and FIG XXII congress*, 22 pages.
- Elaksher, A.F. & Bethel J.S., 2002b. Reconstructing 3D buildings from LiDAR data. *International Archives Of Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* Vol. 34, No. 3/A, pp. 102-107.
- EMO Fredericton, (EMO), 2008. Flood Warning website, Available from: <http://www.gnb.ca/public/Riverwatch/index-e.asp>.
- Environment New Brunswick & MacLaren Atlantic Limited, New Brunswick, (ENB-MAL), 1979. Canada-New Brunswick Flood Damage Reduction Program: Hydrotechnical Studies of the Saint John River from McKinley Ferry to Lower Jemseg, Fredericton, 116 pages.
- Fread, D.L., 1992. *Flow Routing*, Chapter 10, Handbook of Hydrology. Editor E.R. Maidment, pp. 10.1-10.36.
- Jones, J. L., 2004. "Mapping a Flood...Before It Happens". *U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2004-3060*, Available from <http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2004/3060/pdf/fs20043060.pdf>
- Marks, K. & Bates, P., 2000. Integration of high-resolution topographic data with floodplain flow models. *Hydrological Processes*, Vol. 14, No. 11, pp. 2109-2122.
- Mioc, D., Nickerson B., McGillivray, E., Morton A., Anton, F., Fraser, D., Tang, P. & Liang, G., 2008. Early warning and mapping for flood disasters, *Proceedings of 21st ISPRS Conference*, China, Beijing, 2008, 6 pages.
- Moore, R.J., Bell, V.A. & Jones, D.A., 2005. External Geophysics, Climate and Environment - Forecasting for flood warning. *Compte Rendus Geoscience*, Vol. 337, pp. 203-217.
- Noman, N.S., Nelson, E.J. & Zundel, A.K., 2001. Review of automated floodplain delineation from digital terrain models. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, Vol. 127, No. 6, pp. 394-402.
- Noman, N.S., Nelson, E.J. & Zundel, A.K., 2003. Improved Process for Floodplain Delineation from Digital Terrain Models. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, Vol. 129, No. 5, pp. 427-436.
- Sanders, R., Shaw, F., MacKay, H., Galy, H. & Foote, M., 2005. National flood modeling for insurance purposes: using IFSAR for flood risk estimation in Europe. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 449-456.
- Times Colonist, (TC), 2008. Headline: Rising flood threaten New Brunswick Legislature, May 1, 2008 Edition.